

**From:** corvair@mindspring.com@inetgw  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/23/02 12:35pm  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

I have been an information systems professional for more than a decade. In my opinion, the proposed Judgement is not an adequate remedy to the issues cited in the findings of the case.

It is insufficient because:

- a) it allows Microsoft to charge developers a fee for interoperability information and allows Microsoft to set limits to its dissemination. This immediately disallows any open-source development using Microsoft-supplied data, and open source "free" software is one of the few possible competitors to a monopoly with the power and scope Microsoft wields.
- b) Microsoft is left completely un-hindered in its ability to leverage existing market dominance in its pursuit of monopolies in other markets using precisely the same business practices cited in the finding.

For these reasons I regard the proposed remedy as inadequate.

Radford Davis  
Pittsburgh, PA